

MEETING MINUTES



MINUTES OF: AIFL Committee Meeting
 HELD AT: Dalby RSL
 DATE: 12 September 2013
 COMMENCEMENT TIME: 9.30am

PRESENT:	Darren Holmes, Chris Wicks; Wayne Newton, Mike Ward, Stuart Armitage; Steve Hanlon, Rodney Williams; Frances Kinman, David Munro, Richard Maclean, Ben Prain, David Wolf, Paul McVeigh
	APOLOGIES: Jeff Bidstrup, Jamie Grant, Ilija Grgic, Greg Bender
CHAIRMAN:	Darren Holmes
DISCLOSURES	


		ACTION
ITEM 1	Quorum Darren confirmed that there was a quorum for the meeting.	
ITEM 2	Safety Moment by Chris Wicks was on Quad Bike safety.	
ITEM 3	Minutes Of Previous Meeting The minutes from the previous meeting of 13 June 2013 were presented.	
	Discussion after Minutes Stuart Armitage raised issue about membership of the committee including landholders who are no longer under Arrow tenure making decisions on IFL issues. Mike Ward responded that Arrow's preference is to continue to include landholders who are willing to contribute to the committee (Terms of Reference was updated in August 2012 for this purpose). Discussion then referred to role of committee being to share information between Arrow and IFL landholders and to generate ideas and areas for further development. The IFL committee does not make decisions. Furthermore Arrow does not represent information to third parties as being "approved or accepted" by the AIFLC. Mile emphasised that the contribution of the IFL committee to Arrow's policy and commitments to coexistence has been very large and includes input into Arrow 12 coexistence commitments, the development of multi-pad wells and Area Wide Planning. Area Wide Planning – Stuart expressed his frustration at not being able to complete the AWP process for the Cecil Plains group as discussed in the last meeting. Chris advised that there were constraints within Arrow that were preventing this being undertaken at this time. Action: Plan to complete AWP with Cecil Plains group when possible, expected after the Community Engagement later this year. Compensation Model – Stuart questioned why at the previous joint meeting Arrow had presented the compensation model as approved rather than to bring the model to the committee or to landholders for feedback. Mike and Rod Williams explained that the compensation model was shared with the committee at the first opportunity after being approved. Rod advised that the model was compiled with extensive of research of existing industry practice and modelling before it had been approved by Arrow.	

<p>ITEM 4 JOINT ASCRG</p>	<p>Dalglade & Water Connectivity Trial Update - Simon Gossman</p>	
	<p>Simon updated Arrow's activities to monitor water bores and then described the water connectivity trial being undertaken with OGIA</p>	
	<p>Dalglade Drilling Core - Tony Knight</p>	
	<p>Tony provided an update to the meeting on the water bores drilled as part of the OGIA connectivity work at Ian Haylor's property at Ranger's Bridge, Dalglade.</p> <p>Stuart Armitage advised the meeting that Cotton Australia had received a legal opinion that CCAs would be required for deviated drilling under property. Action: Tony will follow up on this to provide further information.</p>	
<p>ITEM 5</p>	<p>Safe Coexistence Simultaneous Operations (SIMOPS) – Chris Wicks</p>	
	<p>Presentation by Chris to begin addressing the issues raised in the AWP and IFL meetings about issues of how Arrow and landholder operations can coexist safely and efficiently, minimising impacts on landholders.</p> <p>Opportunity Statement: <i>Arrow will with our landholders, develop and implement systems, policies and communication tools that will efficiently deliver safe coexistence during simultaneous Arrow and farming operations</i></p>	
	<p>Comments</p>	
	<p>Stuart commented that he thought that overseeing Arrow's construction activities will be a daily task for landholders.</p> <p>Mike responded that this task should reduce when Arrow is able to demonstrate that it is undertaking construction as per its undertakings and communications with landholders – that we do what we say we will do.</p> <p>Stuart has reservations about even light vehicles sharing access tracks where cotton modules are being stored (fire risk/responsibility) plus the landholder does not always know when module transport is expected.</p>	
	<p>SIMOPS Matrix</p>	
	<p>Chris presented a draft Safe Coexistence Matrix to the committee for discussion. The Matrix is draft and has not received final Arrow approval, so the objective for presenting it was to gauge the committee's feedback on the methodology being proposed rather than the detail.</p> <p>The meeting response to the Matrix was that it addressed coexistence issues quite well issue and the committee requested that it be sent to members for further consideration. Chris emphasised that because the Safe Coexistence SIMOPS Matrix was still a draft it should be kept confidential.</p> <p>Paul McVeigh advised that the cotton industry has standard re-entry periods after chemical applications in fields that he will provide.</p> <p>Chris will seek approval to share the Coexistence Matrix with the committee for further consideration.</p>	
<p>ITEM 7</p>	<p>Projects Update</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None 	

ITEM 8	Other Business	
	<p>Stuart said that he would like to see coexistence criteria developed with Arrow by the IFL committee. In the draft Strategic Regional Plan there is provision for 'No material loss of land' and "coexistence" but these issues are not detailed in the Plan.</p> <p>Stuart provided the view the landholders are not asking for right of veto but want equal opportunity to negotiate. If impacts on the Condamine Alluvium are minimal ("if the water thing is right") and there is no subsidence than landholders can't really say no. But landholders can have a say about what happens on our land and this committee should address these issues of coexistence.</p> <p>Stuart said he would send through coexistence criteria/questions that he had developed and requested that the IFL committee should have a meeting to develop coexistence criteria between Arrow and IFL landholders.</p> <p>Mike noted that Arrow had developed many coexistence criteria already including multiwell pads and commitments on well locations. Mike said that Arrow management take their social licence to operate very seriously and understood why we had to coexist to achieve this.</p> <p>The request for this meeting will be considered by Arrow in conjunction with the other engagement activities currently being planned.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• None	Request to meet again to work through coexistence criteria
ITEM 9	Next Meeting	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday 7^h November. Landholders requested that an earlier start time be considered.	

There being no further business, the meeting was closed at 12.15 pm.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD.



Chairman